Constraining the Symmetry Energy



Symmetry energy in finite nuclei

Bethe-Weiszacker





Systematics of $a_{sym}^{Surface}$ probes $E_{sym}(\rho)$ P. Danielewicz, Nucl. Phys. A727 (2003) 233

Neutrons

The EoS of asymmetric nuclear matter

From finite nuclei...

$$E(A,Z) = -a_v A + a_s A^{2/3} + a_c \frac{Z(Z-1)}{A^{1/3}} + a_{sym} \frac{(N-Z)^2}{A} + \dots \qquad \delta = \frac{N-Z}{N+Z}$$

... to infinite nuclear matter: how does E depend on density and δ ?

$$E(\rho,\delta) = E(\rho,\delta=0) + E_{sym}(\rho) \cdot \delta^2 + O(\delta^4) \qquad \delta = \frac{\rho_n - \rho_p}{\rho_n + \rho_p}$$



???

Many approaches... large uncertainties....

Microscopic many-body, phenomenological, variational, ...

Neutron stars



- Radii
- Frequencies of crustal vibrations
- Composition and thickness of inner crust
- URCA processes
- Phases within the star

Nusym10: Several talks to learn from

How to produce density gradients of asymmetric nuclear matter?





CSR, GSI/Fair, FRIB, Riken, ...



Outline

- Probes at Intermediate energies: sub-saturation density Asy-EoS (ρ<ρ₀)
- Probes at high energies: suprasaturation density Asy-EoS (ρ>ρ₀)

 $\rho_0 \sim 0.17 \text{ fm}^{-3}$

Probes in HIC at intermediate energies



Strategies

Measured observables

VS

Calculated observables in reaction modelsINPUT: $V_{sym}(\rho)$ (asy-stiff, asy-soft), $V_{sym}(\rho,k)$ Nucleon-nucleon cross section: σ_{NN}

Comparisons provide constraints on $E_{sym}(\rho)$

Typical $E_{sym}(\rho)$ parameterizations

Symmetry potential is repulsive on neutrons and attractive on protons



$$E_{sym}(\rho) = E_{sym}^{kin}(\rho) + E_{sym}^{pot}(\rho) = a \cdot \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{2/3} + b \cdot \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{\gamma}$$

 $\gamma = 2 \sim \text{Super stiff}$ $\gamma = 0.3 \sim \text{Super soft}$

HIC at intermediate energies: $E_{sym}(\rho)$ at $\rho < \rho_0$



Multifragmentation

Sec. decays!



Isospin sensitive phenomena

- Isoscaling
- Isospin fractionation

Isotopic effects in multifragmentation



Isospin fractionation

$$R_{12}(N,Z) = \frac{Y^{124+124}(N/Z)}{Y^{112+112}(N/Z)} \propto \exp(\alpha \cdot N + \beta \cdot Z)$$

Grand-Canonical Ensamble $R_{21} \propto \exp\left[\left(\Delta \mu_n / T\right) \cdot N + \left(\Delta \mu_p / T\right) \cdot Z\right] \propto \left(\hat{\rho}_n\right)^N \left(\hat{\rho}_p\right)^Z$

$\alpha = \Delta \mu_n / T$ $\beta = \Delta \mu_p / T$

Densities of free neutrons and protons (gas)

$$\hat{\rho}_{n} = \left[\frac{\rho_{free,n}^{124+124}}{\rho_{free,n}^{112+112}}\right] \qquad \qquad \hat{\rho}_{p} = \left[\frac{\rho_{free,p}^{124+124}}{\rho_{free,p}^{112+112}}\right]$$



N/Z gas phase > N/Z liquid phase

Experimental signal of **isospin fractionation** in the coexistence region of asymmetric nuclear matter Mueller & Serot, PRC52, 2072 (1995)

Isoscaling and the symmetry energy



$$R_{12}(N,Z) = \frac{Y^{124+124}(N/Z)}{Y^{112+112}(N/Z)} \propto \exp(\alpha \cdot N + \beta \cdot Z)$$

Link to symmetry energy and temperature

$$\alpha = \frac{4C_{sym}}{T} \cdot \left(\frac{Z_1^2}{A_1^2} - \frac{Z_2^2}{A_2^2}\right)$$

Predicted in statistical and dynamical models

M.B. Tsang et al., PRC64, 054615 (SMM)

A. Ono, PRC68, 051601(R) (AMD)

Extract the symmetry energy C_{sym}

Isoscaling in LCP-induced reactions



Symmetry energy in statistical models

$$M(A,Z) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{F_{AZ}}{T}\right) \qquad E_{A,Z}^{sym} = C_{sym} \cdot \left(A - 2Z\right)^2 / A$$

Experimental data consistent with: C_{sym}~22.5 MeV

Isoscaling in spectator decay - Indra@GSI



- Symmetry energy decreases up to $C_{svm} < 15 \text{ MeV}...$
- Chemical freeze-out in expanded source (low ρ)

$E_{sym}(\rho)$ and clustering at very low densities

⁶⁴Zn+⁹²Mo,¹⁹⁷Au E/A=35 MeV



Include clustering at low ρ in EoS models

Horowitz et al.

10

 $E_{sym}(\rho)$ at $\rho < 0.05-0.01\rho_0$ higher than mean-field model expectations (talk by W. Kowalski)

Isoscaling and C_{sym} at Fermi energies



• α -slope decreases with E_{lab} : temperature only cannot explain Expansion ==> Decreasing C_{sym} at lower ρ

$E_{sym}(\rho)$ from pre-equilibrium nucleons



- Neutron/proton ratios and double ratios
- Two-nucleon correlation functions (HBT)

Neutron/Proton yield ratios

$$R(n / p) = \frac{Y_n(E_{kin})}{Y_p(E_{kin})}$$

- R(n/p) sensitive to $E_{sym}(\rho)$
- Soft E_{sym} emits more neutrons at high E_{kin}



IBUU97, no mom-dependent interaction

Pre-equilibrium n/p and $E_{sym}(\rho)$



• V_{asy}(Soft) > V_{asy}(Stiff) more repulsion with V_{asy}(Soft)

Larger effects on n/p ratios



IBUU97, no mom-dependent interaction

IBUU04 predictions on R(n/p) - MDI+ $\sigma_{NN,med}$



• Momentum dependent interaction important Small effects need to be isolated!! Double n/p ratios: advantages Enhance effects due to symmetry energy only

¹²⁴Sn+¹²⁴Sn
¹¹²Sn+¹¹²Sn

$$DR(n / p) = \frac{[Y(n) / Y(p)]^{124+124}}{[Y(n) / Y(p)]^{112+112}}$$

Remove secondary non- E_{sym} effects (Coulomb, secondary decays, detection efficiency problems, ...)

Neutron/proton ratios and $E_{sym}(\rho)$

112,124Sn+112,124Sn E/A=50 MeV



M.B. Tsang et al., PRL102, 122701 (2009)

pp, nn, np correlation functions



Lie-Wen Chen et al., PRL (2003), PRC(2005) Talk by Z. Chajecki, Poster by M. Kilburn

Correlation functions



Neutron-proton correlation functions



Emission chronology sensitive to Asy-EOS

Difficult experiments!!!



HIC at intermediate energies: $E_{sym}(\rho)$ at $\rho < \rho_0$



Neck emision and isospin drift



Neck alignment vs N/Z properties



"neck" fragments (BNV simulations)

Isospin diffusion

Long τ_{int} : N/Z mixing equilibration



• *Interaction time* τ_{int} determined by **<u>beam energy</u>** and **<u>impact parameter</u>**

Experimental Probes of isospin diffusion





Probing $E_{sym}(\rho)$

Comparisons to SMF



E. Galichet et al., PRC79, 064615 (2009)



 $E_{sym}^{pot}(\rho) \propto \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{\gamma} \qquad \gamma = 1$

More about N/Z equilibration/transparency and stopping

Talk by G. Lehaut, Indra data

Stay tuned...

G. Lehaut et al., PRL104, 232701 (2010)

Isospin diffusion: Lassa Data





Advantages

Elegant way to show isospin diffusion effects

Remove effects due to Coulomb, Pre-equilibrium, Secondary decays, detector efficiency

Enhances isospin sensitive effects

Allows comparing to model predictions even using different observables $X \propto \delta = (N-Z)/(N+Z)$

Imbalance ratios vs rapidity ^{112,124}Sn+^{112,124}Sn E/A=50 MeV



Probing $E_{sym}(\rho)$ with ImQMD



Cluster formation accounted for

$$E_{sym}(\rho) = \frac{Cs, k}{2} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{2/3} + \frac{Cs, p}{2} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{\gamma_i}$$

b=6 fm $\gamma \approx 0.45$ -1.0 b=7 fm $\gamma \approx 0.35$ -0.8

M.B. Tsang et al., PRL102, 122701 (2009)

Towards a consistent pictureSame $E_{sym}(\rho)$ parameterization for multiple probes $E_{sym}(\rho)=12.5\cdot(\rho/\rho_0)^{2/3}+17.5\cdot(\rho/\rho0)^{\gamma}$ $0.4 < \gamma < 1$



IBUU04: γ =0.7-1.05 from R_i(α) only -- agreement

Consistent constraints from different communities



Conclusions: sub-saturation densities

- Important progress has been made
 - Consistent analyses of γ =0.4-1.0 from isoscaling, isospin diffusion, n/p pre-equilibrium emissions
 - Different communities and one language
- The work we need to do: extend the systematics, reduce error bars, improve detectors
- Explore $\sigma_{NN},$ momentum dependence and m*/m splitting
- Understand model discrepancies
- Enhance E_{sym} signals with future RIB facilities (high N/Z asymmetries): FRIB, Riken, Eurisol, Spiral2, ...

Studying $E_{sym}(\rho)$ at supra-saturation densities







- N/Z of high density regions sensitive to $E_{svm}(\rho)$
- High ρ/ρ_0 : asy-stiff more repulsive on neutrons opposite of sub-saturation trend

Probes at supra-saturation

- 1. n/p directed and elliptic flow
- 2. Particle production in high density regions: p^{-}/p^{+} and K^{0}/K^{+}
- 3. n/p and t/³He spectra squeezed-out of participant region (ρ ~2-3 ρ 0)

Caution with momentum dependent interaction

Directcted and Elliptic flow



Ylab

n/p elliptic flow



UrQMD simulations

$$E_{sym}(\rho) = E_{sym}^{kin}(\rho) + E_{sym}^{pot}(\rho)$$
$$E_{sym}^{pot}(\rho) = 22MeV \cdot \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{\gamma}$$
$$\gamma = 1.0 \pm 0.3$$

P.Russotto et al., 2010, Submitted for publication

Meson production: Pions



IBUU04 ¹³²Sn+¹²⁴Sn 1.6 E_{lab}=400A MeV b=1 fm 1.5 Multiplicity π 1.4 1.3 0.6 π Asy-Softness 0.5 -2 0 -1 X parameter

B.A. Li, PRC71, 014608 (2005)

 π -/ π + sensitive to E_{sym}(ρ) at high ρ

NN collisions in high density regions $\pi - \pi + reflecting the (N/Z)_{dense}$

Pion controversial results....



Pion and Kaon freeze-out in HIC



Warning with pions:

- Strongly interacting in medium
- Freeze-out at late times (low ρ/ρ_0)
- Difficult to isolate π+ and πproduced in the high density stage

Kaons: more sensitive probes?

- Higher thresholds
- Weakly interacting in medium
- Freeze-out already at 20 fm/c: real high density region probes



Need for new data... Kaons as a promising probe of the high density regions

Caution with momentum dependence in $U_{sym}(\rho,k)$



$$\frac{m_q^*}{m} = \left[1 + \frac{m}{\hbar^2 k} \frac{\partial U_q}{\partial k}\right]^2$$

Important for: nucleon emission, flow, particle production $(\pi^{-}/\pi^{+},...)$

Effective n/p mass splitting and high Pt



n/p at high pt sensitive to m*/m only!

E. Greco, INPC2010

V.Giordano et al. PRC81(2010)

Conclusions on supra-saturation density

- Elliptic flow analysis
 - $\gamma = 1.0 \pm 0.3$...waiting for the next GSI experiment
- n/p ratios vs Pt to constrain (m*/m) splitting?
- Pion and Kaon production probes need more work: experimens and models - better understand Δ -dynamics and role of $E_{sym}(\rho)$
- Future projects: Need for better data, larger systematics (E_{inc}, N/Z) at Riken, Fair, FRIB, CSR, ...

Where are we? where do we go from here?



Improvements in measurements and modelling required

Encouraging and stimulating for future challenges Different communities working together

Acknowldgements

- All the scientific community: wonderful results and passionate dedication in their work
- * The organizers for bringing us here
- The participants for coming, sharing their ideas
- * Help by colleagues at CT, MSU, GANIL, GSI